

Exercise 20) Consider the electromagnetic Lagrangian density with 't Hooft-Feynman gauge-fixing term:  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A)^2$ , quantized by means of the canonical quantization condition  $[\hat{A}_\mu^\alpha(x, t), \hat{\pi}_\nu^\beta(y, t)] = i g_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \delta(x-y) \hat{\delta}$ .

(a) We want to implement the Lorentz condition without conflicting with the canonical quantization condition  $[\hat{A}_\mu(x, t), \hat{\pi}_\nu(y, t)] = i S(x-y) \hat{\delta} - i \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu(y, t)$

$\Rightarrow$  \*)  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu(y, t) = 0$  would yield  $[\hat{A}_\mu(x, t), \hat{\pi}_\nu(y, t)] = 0 \Rightarrow$  not okay  $\square$

\*)  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu(y, t) | \psi \rangle = 0$  would imply  $\langle \psi | [\hat{A}_\mu(x, t), \hat{\pi}_\nu(y, t)] | \psi \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$  not okay  $\square$

(b) Try  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^{(+)} | \psi \rangle = 0$ , with  $\hat{A}_\mu^{(+)}(x) = \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} \sum_{r=0}^3 \hat{a}_p^r e_r(p) e^{-ip \cdot x} = (\hat{A}_\mu^{(-)})^\dagger$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \psi | \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^{(+)} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^{(+)} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^{(-)} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^{(+)} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^{(+)} | \psi \rangle^* = 0.$$

$\hat{\square}$  Lorentz condition implemented as an expectation-value condition

This is possible if  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}$  can be written as  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}^{(+)} + \partial_\mu \hat{A}^{(-)}$ , i.e. if  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}$  can be decomposed in plane-wave modes with accompanying creation and annihilation operators. This is okay if  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}$  satisfies the KG eqn. for massless particles (since  $E_p = |\vec{p}|$ ).

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\lambda}{2} (\partial_\mu A)^2 \Rightarrow E.-L. \text{ eqn.}; -\Box A^\mu + (-\lambda) \partial^\mu (\partial_\mu A) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\mu (E.-L. \text{ eqn.}) = -\lambda \Box (\partial_\mu A) = 0$$

So for a gauge-fixing term  $-\frac{\lambda}{2} (\partial_\mu A)^2$  (e.g.,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ) it is indeed guaranteed that  $\partial_\mu A$  should satisfy the KG eqn.  $\square$

(c)  $\partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu^{(+)}(x) | \psi \rangle = -i \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} \sum_{r=0}^3 p_\nu e_r(p) e^{-ip \cdot x} | \psi \rangle = 0$ , with

$$e_r(p) \cdot p = 0, \quad e_r(p) \cdot p = E_p, \quad e_r(p) \cdot p = -\vec{p}^2/E_p = -E_p$$

$$\Rightarrow -i \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{E_p}{2}} e^{-ip \cdot x} (\hat{a}_p^0 - \hat{a}_p^3) | \psi \rangle = 0 \xrightarrow[\text{Fourier modes}]{e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} \text{ basis of}} (\hat{a}_p^0 - \hat{a}_p^3) | \psi \rangle = 0$$

for arbitrary photon momentum  $\vec{p}$

This means that physical states  $| \psi \rangle$  in Fock space consist of the right admixture of scalar and longitudinal photons, whereas there is no restriction on the transverse-photon content.  $\square$

Write  $|\psi\rangle \equiv |\psi_T\rangle |\phi\rangle = |\psi_T\rangle [|\phi_0\rangle + c_1 |\phi_1\rangle + c_2 |\phi_2\rangle + \dots]$  ( $c_1, c_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$ ), with  $\hat{a}_p^\dagger |\psi_T\rangle = 0$  if  $r=0, 3$  and  $\langle \psi_T | \psi_T \rangle = 1$ ,  $\hat{a}_p^\dagger |\phi\rangle = 0$  if  $r=1, 2$ ,  $(\hat{a}_p^0 - \hat{a}_p^3) |\phi\rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = 1$ .

(d)  $\hat{N}_{SL} \equiv \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} (\hat{a}_p^{3\dagger} \hat{a}_p^3 - \hat{a}_p^{0\dagger} \hat{a}_p^0)$  counts scalar and longitudinal photons  
 $\uparrow$  due to  $[\hat{a}_p^0, \hat{a}_p^{3\dagger}] = - (2\pi)^3 \delta(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') \hat{1}$

$$*) \hat{N}_{SL} |1_S\rangle = \int \frac{d\vec{p} d\vec{p}'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\rho(\vec{p}')}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}'}}} (\hat{a}_p^{3\dagger} \hat{a}_p^3 - \hat{a}_p^{0\dagger} \hat{a}_p^0) \underbrace{\hat{a}_{\vec{p}'}^{0\dagger} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}'}^0}_{\substack{\text{contains } n \text{ scalar} \\ \text{or long. photons}}} |1_S\rangle = 1_S$$

$$*) \langle \phi_n | \hat{N}_{SL} | \phi_n \rangle = n \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle$$

$$\uparrow \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \phi_n | (\hat{a}_p^{3\dagger} \hat{a}_p^3 - \hat{a}_p^{0\dagger} \hat{a}_p^0) | \phi_n \rangle = 0, \text{ since } \hat{a}_p^3 | \phi_n \rangle = \hat{a}_p^0 | \phi_n \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow n \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle = 0 \text{ if } n \geq 1$$

$$\text{Consequence: } \langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi_0 | \phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 \langle \phi_n | \phi_n \rangle = 1$$

$$\underline{\text{(e)}} \quad \langle \psi | N(\hat{A}) | \psi \rangle = \underbrace{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle}_{1} \langle \psi_T | \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\vec{p}} \sum_{r=1}^3 \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{r\dagger} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^r | \psi_T \rangle + \underbrace{\langle \psi_T | \psi_T \rangle}_{1} \langle \phi | \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} E_{\vec{p}} (\hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{3\dagger} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^3 - \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^{0\dagger} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^0) | \phi \rangle$$

This means that the scalar and longitudinal photon contributions cancel each other in the (physical) energy expectation values  $\delta$

$$\underline{\text{(f)}} \quad \langle \psi | \hat{A}_\mu(x) | \psi \rangle = \underbrace{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle}_{1} \langle \psi_T | \hat{A}_\mu^{(tr)}(x) | \psi_T \rangle + \underbrace{\langle \psi_T | \psi_T \rangle}_{1} \langle \phi | \hat{A}_\mu(x) | \phi \rangle$$

In order to determine the last term we use the identity

$$E_{\vec{p}} (\epsilon_{\mu}^0(\vec{p}) + \epsilon_{\mu}^3(\vec{p})) = (E_{\vec{p}}, -\vec{p}) = P_\mu, \text{ with } p^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \phi | \hat{A}_\mu(x) | \phi \rangle = \langle \phi | \left( \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot x}}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} [\epsilon_{\mu}^0(\vec{p}) \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^0 + \epsilon_{\mu}^3(\vec{p}) \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^3] + \text{h.c.} \right) | \phi \rangle$$

$$\uparrow \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^0 \text{ since } \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^3 | \phi \rangle = \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^0 | \phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi | \left( \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} P_\mu \frac{e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot x}}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}^3}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^0 + \text{h.c.} \right) | \phi \rangle$$

$$= \partial_\mu \langle \phi | \left( \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{i e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot x}}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}^3}} \hat{a}_{\vec{p}}^0 + \text{h.c.} \right) | \phi \rangle \equiv \partial_\mu \chi(x)$$

c.p. classical limit

$$\uparrow \square \chi(x) = 0, \text{ since } p^2 = 0$$

(g) In terms of expectation values:  $\langle \partial_\mu \hat{A} \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \hat{A}_\mu \rangle = \langle \hat{A}_\mu^{(tr)} \rangle + \partial_\mu \chi$  ( $\square \chi = 0$ ). The latter reflects the remaining gauge arbitrariness of  $\langle \hat{A}_\mu \rangle$  in the Lorenz gauge  $\Rightarrow \chi(x)$  can be chosen to vanish, i.e.  $| \phi \rangle = | \phi_0 \rangle$ .