

Exercises for Quantum Mechanics 3

Set 15 (module 1)

Exercise 31: Thermal equilibrium between radiation and an atomic heat bath
Deriving the key identity for obtaining Planck's law of black-body radiation

Consider a heat bath consisting of localized spin-0 atoms, such as spin-0 atoms in a solid-state environment. For convenience we assume the atoms to have two non-degenerate energy eigenstates $|\psi_A\rangle$ and $|\psi_B\rangle$ with energies E_A and $E_B = E_A - \hbar\omega$ ($\omega > 0$). Assume this atomic heat bath to be in thermal equilibrium with electromagnetic radiation at temperature T , causing the reversible 1-photon process $A \rightleftharpoons B + \gamma$ to be in equilibrium. The (average) equilibrium occupation of the atomic states A and B are denoted by $N(A)$ and $N(B)$, respectively.

- (i) Derive from the equilibrium condition for the reversible 1-photon process that

$$\frac{N(B)}{N(A)} = \frac{W_{A \rightarrow B + \gamma}}{W_{B + \gamma \rightarrow A}} = \exp(\hbar\omega/k_B T),$$

with $W_{A \rightarrow B + \gamma}$ and $W_{B + \gamma \rightarrow A}$ being the transition probabilities per unit of time per atom in the indicated initial state.

Hint: Maxwell–Boltzmann statistics may be used for the localized atoms.

- (ii) Employ the operator identity

$$[F(\hat{r}_j), \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \frac{\partial F}{\partial \hat{r}_j}$$

to show that

$$\exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \hat{r}_j) \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda(\vec{e}_k) \cdot \hat{p}_j = \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda(\vec{e}_k) \cdot \hat{p}_j \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \hat{r}_j)$$

for the position and momentum operators \hat{r}_j and \hat{p}_j of the j^{th} atom.

- (iii) Deduce from this the detailed balancing condition for the j^{th} atom:

$$|\langle \psi_B^{(j)} | \exp(-i\vec{k} \cdot \hat{r}_j) \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda(\vec{e}_k) \cdot \hat{p}_j | \psi_A^{(j)} \rangle|^2 = |\langle \psi_A^{(j)} | \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \hat{r}_j) \vec{\epsilon}_\lambda(\vec{e}_k) \cdot \hat{p}_j | \psi_B^{(j)} \rangle|^2.$$

- (iv) Assume the atoms in the heat bath to have on average no preferred direction, allowing photons to be emitted/absorbed without directional preference. This implies that all photon states with quantum numbers λ and $\{\vec{k} : k = \omega/c\}$ share the same occupation number \bar{n} in the radiation field. Use the detailed-balancing condition of

part (iii) to argue that the following should hold at first order in perturbation theory:

$$j^{\text{th}} \text{ atom : } \frac{W_{A^{(j)} \rightarrow B^{(j)} + \gamma_{\vec{k}, \lambda}}}{W_{B^{(j)} + \gamma_{\vec{k}, \lambda} \rightarrow A^{(j)}}} \approx \frac{\bar{n} + 1}{\bar{n}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{all atoms : } \frac{W_{A \rightarrow B + \gamma}}{W_{B + \gamma \rightarrow A}} \approx \frac{\bar{n} + 1}{\bar{n}} .$$

Hint: use equations (364)–(366) of the lecture notes and neglect for convenience's sake the magnetic spin interaction.

- (v) Prove that the photons with quantum numbers λ and $\{\vec{k} : k = \omega/c\}$ are distributed according to

$$\bar{n} = \frac{1}{\exp(\hbar\omega/k_B T) - 1} .$$

Exercise 32: Alternative particle interpretation in the free spin-1/2 theory

The reason why the 1-particle Dirac theory was such a success

Consider the quantized solution $\hat{\psi}(x)$ to the Dirac equation for free spin-1/2 particles, as given in equation (398) of the lecture notes.

- (i) Prove that this solution satisfies the following set of anticommutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{\psi}_i(\vec{x}, t), \hat{\psi}_{i'}(\vec{x}', t)\} &= \{\hat{\psi}_i^\dagger(\vec{x}, t), \hat{\psi}_{i'}^\dagger(\vec{x}', t)\} = 0 , \\ \{\hat{\psi}_i(\vec{x}, t), \hat{\psi}_{i'}^\dagger(\vec{x}', t)\} &= \delta_{ii'} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \hat{1} \quad (i, i' = 1, \dots, 4 \text{ spinor indices}) . \end{aligned}$$

In this proof you should use the completeness relations for Dirac spinors and Fourier components:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda} \left(u_{\lambda}^+(\vec{p}) u_{\lambda}^+(\vec{p})^\dagger + u_{\lambda}^-(\vec{p}) u_{\lambda}^-(\vec{p})^\dagger \right) &= \frac{E_{\vec{p}}}{mc^2} I_4 , \\ \sum_{\vec{p}} u_{\vec{p}}(\vec{x}) u_{\vec{p}}^*(\vec{x}') &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\vec{p}} \exp(i\vec{p} \cdot [\vec{x} - \vec{x}']/\hbar) = \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') . \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Why can one conclude from this that an alternative particle interpretation is hidden in the free spin-1/2 theory?
- (iii) Finally, prove that $\langle 0_e | \hat{\psi}_i(\vec{x}, t) \hat{\psi}_{i'}^\dagger(\vec{x}', t) | 0_e \rangle = \delta_{ii'} \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$ for the electron vacuum $|0_e\rangle$ defined in equation (406) of the lecture notes.

Armed with this knowledge you should be able to understand § 5.2.1 of the lecture notes, where an explicit link is provided between the many-particle Dirac theory and the successful 1-particle theory.